

# Seismic Analysis of Multistory Rc Building For Various Lateral Load Resisting System By Response Spectrum Method

Dr Gopisiddappa<sup>1</sup>, Supriya K T<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Professor,<sup>2</sup> M. tech Student, Department of Civil EngineeringPESCollege of Engineering, India

Submitted: 10-07-2022	Revised: 18-07-2022	Accepted: 23-07-2022

**ABSTRACT-**This study refers to the analysis of medium and high rise building for earthquake that create lateral load in the building using response spectrum method. In this method, maximum earthquake acceleration affects the building model and the analysis is performed using ETABS software. Models under consideration include transverse stiffening system such as columns and shear walls to support lateral loads. They are in different locations and use seismic parameters to study their impact. The most efficient swap system observed with increased performances and stiffens system.

**INDEX TERMS-**Bracings, Shearwall,Lateral load resisting system Response spectrum method.

## I. 1)INTRODUCTION

Seismic design is based on the generally recognized principles, design methods and criteria developed for the design of new structures and the modernization of existing structures. Seismic analysis is a dynamic analysis because magnitude of an earthquake is dynamic and acceleration varies significantly from moment to moment compared to natural frequency of the structures. Dynamic analysis provides the real time results of seismic loads in terms of dynamic displacement, temporal results and the modal analysis

To overcome these difficulties, the most powerful structural design tool is the seismic response spectrum. Since this analysis method gives the values of maximum displacement and maximum element force for each type of vibration it uses an accurate calculated spectrum obtained by averaging the movements of several earthquake.



Design response spectrum IS 1893: 2002

## Lateral Load Resisting Systems (LLRS)

The various types of lateral load resistive systems (LLRS) are

- 1) Moment resisting frames.
- 2) Braced frames.
- 3) Shear walls based frames.

## 1) Moment resisting frames

Because moment transfer is via a link, there is must debate about whether the term can be used as a basis .Previously, the term "rigid frame" was most commonly used. We classify connection as strong and immovable. Hard links lose their ability to transfer moments, while hard links do. This type of truss has more deformation than a shouldertruss or a frame equipped with vertical reinforcement

## 2) Braced frames

The bracket is a kind of side load resistance system used to reduce the reaction force and the induced torque of the building due to an earthquake. There are many ways to strengthen the frame, but the most popular is the method of attachment. The buildings use a vertical truss structure with conventional horizontal members. Sometimes you can also use fixed borders for horizontal elements



or combine vertical and horizontal borders with fixed 3D borders.

2) Shear walls based frames

Shear walls are also a kind of LLRS used for reducing the responses and induced torsion in the building due to earthquakes. These are thewalls that are built in structures to counter act lateral forces produced from wind and earthquakes. Shear walls have a large in plane stiffness thus it resists the lateral loads and control deflection more efficiently.

## II. METHODOLOGY

In this method design spectrum is selected from IS 1893:2002(part 1).Zone, Importance factor, Response reduction Time period and damping ratio is defined. ETABS calculate Sa/g value for each mode defined utilizing the above data. CQC and SRSS method is defined by user. Scale factor is set and the exactness of response spectrum method is checked and scale factor is corrected. **Dimension of G+5 building** 

Members	For lower 3	For upper	
	stories	3	
		stories	
column	230x450mm	230x300mm	
Beam	230x450mm	230x450mm	
Slab	150mm	150mm	
Bracings	230x230mm	230x230mm	
Shear walls	230mm	230mm	

Members	For lower	rFor	For top 5
	6	middle 4	stories
	Stories	stories	
Column	300 x	x230 x	230 x
	600mm	450mm	300mm
Beam	300 x	x300 x	300 x
	450mm	450mm	450mm
Slab	150 mm	150 mm	150 mm
Bracings	300	230 x 230	230 x
-	x300mm		230mm
Shear walls	230 mm	230 mm	230 mm

## Model description of G+5 and G+14

Model 1	Bare frame
Model 2	Concentrically braced f
Model 3	Concentrically braced frame
Model 4	Concentrically braced frame along shorter and longer span
Model 5	Concentrically braced frame along corners
Model 6	Shear based frame along shorter span
Model 7	Shear based frame along longer span
Model 8	Shear based frame along shorter and longer span
Model 9	Shear based frame along corners
Model 10	Shear based frame along center



DOI: 10.35629/5252-040713031307 Impact Factor value 7.429 | ISO 9001: 2008 Certified Journal Page 1304







Loads consider are: DL- program calculated LL:3.5kN/m<sup>2</sup> FF:1.5 kN/m<sup>2</sup>

**III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION** Maximum story displacement of G+5



Displacement in X direction





Displacement in Y direction

Maximum story displacement of G+14



Displacement in X direction



Displacement in Y direction

Maximum story shear for G+5



Maximum story shear for G+14





## Time period of G+5 and G+14



## IV. CONCLUSION

- 1. After analyzing the building models combined action of the clamps at shorter span and longer span gives smaller displacement.
- 2. After placing shear walls in the middle of the building it yields better results than placing at any other position.
- 3. Displacement increases as height of story increases and maximum story displacement is observed in the top of the story.
- 4. When shear core is placed there is significant decrease in story displacement, story shear, story drift and the time period.
- 5. Lateral load resisting system increases the elastic stiffness of the building rather than just having the bare frames.
- 6. Shear core is found to be more efficient lateral stiffness system than any other systems.

#### REFRENCES

- Bahador Bagheri, Ehsan Salimi Firoozabad, and Mohammadreza Yahyaei (2012), "Comparative Study of the Static and Dynamic Analysis of Multi-Story Irregular Building", International Journal of Civil, Environmental, Structural, Construction and Architectural Engineering, Vol. 6, No.11, pp. 1045-1049.
- Md. Irshad Ali, and Vishwanath B. Patil (November 2013), "Studies on Behavior of Wall-Frame Structure Subjected to Lateral Load", International Journal of Innovative Research and Development, ISSN: 2278 – 0211, Vol. 2, Issue. 11, pp. 438-443.
- Abhijeet Baikerikar, and Kanchan Kanagali (2014), "Study of lateral load resistingsystems of variable heights in all soil types of high seismic zone",

International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology (IJRET), EISSN: 2319-1163, PISSN: 2321-7308, Vol. 3, Issue 10, pp. 109-119

- Thejaswini R.M and Rashmi, A. R. (July-2015), "Analysis and Comparison of Different Lateral Load Resisting Structural Forms", International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT), ISSN: 2278-0181, Vol. 4, Issue 07, pp. 827-833.
- 5) Piyush Gupta, and Neeraja (March 2016), "Analysis of various RCC lateral force resisting systems and their comparison using ETABS", International Journal of Latest Trends in Engineering and Technology (IJLTET), ISSN: 2278-621X, Vol. 6, Issue 4, pp. 175-182.
- 6) Muralidhar G.B., and Swathi Rani, K.S. (March 2016), "Comparison of performance of lateral load resisting systems in multi storyflat slab building", International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology (IJRET), EISSN: 2319-1163, PISSN: 2321-7308, Vol. 5, Issue 03, pp. 15-19.

DOI: 10.35629/5252-040713031307 Impact Factor value 7.429 | ISO 9001: 2008 Certified Journal Page 1307